REMARKS

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the detailed comments in the final Office Action mailed September 30, 2009, and for the interview conducted on November 2, 2009. The Applicant appreciates the interview summary provided by the Examiner.

As agreed in the interview of November 2, 2009, the claim rejections under §112 and drawings rejections are withdrawn. It was agreed by the undersigned and the Examiner that support is found in the specification at paragraphs [0016]-[0019] for figures 1 and 6A-6C.

Also, the Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed the reasons that claims 33-35 are allowable over Fay et al. in view of Lea, et al. Specifically, an insulation structure wherein the insulation package is completely enveloped by a film and the film being burnthrough safe is neither taught nor suggested by the combination of Fay et al. and Lea et al.

None of the cited references, taken alone or in combination, teach or suggest all of the limitations of claims 33-35; therefore, claims 33-35 should be indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form.

The undersigned renews the previous arguments in the Response filed May 28, 2009.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all the pending claims, and respectfully requests that claims 33-35 be indicated as allowable, if rewritten in independent form.

Date: November 30, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Christophel Paradies, Ph.D.

Registration No.: 45,692

FOWLER WHITE BOGGS P.A.

501 East Kennedy Blvd., Suite 1700

Tampa, Florida 33602

Telephone: (813) 222-1190